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Abstract

Objectives: This study investigated whether frequency of receptive arts engagement over 10 years contributes to experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being in older adults.

Methods: We used repeated data of 3,188 respondents from waves 2 to 7 (2004/2005-2014/2015) of the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. We examined longitudinal associations between short-term (frequent engagement at one wave), repeated (frequent engagement at 2-3 waves), and sustained (frequent engagement at 4-6 waves) arts engagement and experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being. We fitted linear and logistic regression models adjusted for baseline well-being and a number of sociodemographic, economic, health and social engagement factors.

Results: In the fully-adjusted models, short-term engagement was not longitudinally associated with well-being, but repeated engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera and museums/galleries/exhibitions was associated with enhanced eudaimonic well-being and sustained engagement with these activities was associated with greater experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being.

Discussion: Long-term frequent engagement with certain arts activities is associated with higher levels of happiness, life satisfaction, self-realisation and control/autonomy in older adults. These findings suggest that policies that facilitate older adults’ access to arts venues and activities and support their continued engagement with them, may help to promote happy, fulfilling lives of an increasing segment of the population.
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Introduction

According to United Nations (2017) estimates the global population aged 60 years and over is increasing faster than all younger age groups. Psychological well-being is prone to decline in old age due to commonly experienced events such as a partner’s death or disease, lone living, impoverished social interactions, low income, and progressive, age-related worsening health (NICE, 2016). There is thus a need to investigate sustainable ways of promoting well-being in later life.

Psychological well-being has been commonly recognised as a complex concept encompassing hedonic and eudaimonic elements (Ryan & Deci, 2001). Hedonic well-being comprises both experienced well-being (which entails affective aspects such as positive and negative affect, i.e. happiness or depressed mood), and evaluative well-being (which concerns perceptions of life quality, i.e. life satisfaction). Eudaimonic well-being includes multiple aspects related to optimal functioning, such as the basic psychological needs of relatedness, autonomy and competence as well as engagement, purpose in life, and accomplishment (Ryan & Deci, 2001; Ryff, 2017). This multidimensional structure has been confirmed to capture elements important to the well-being of older adults (Vanhoutte, 2014), and reflects multiple priorities in healthy ageing. Psychological well-being, in particular eudaimonic well-being, has also been associated with longer life expectancy and better health outcomes in old age (Cohen, Bavishi, & Rozanski, 2016; Ryff, 2017; Steptoe, Deaton, & Stone, 2015). Notably, the extent to which older adults perceive their lives as meaningful and purposeful has been robustly linked with extended longevity, improved physical and mental health, and social engagement (Cohen et al., 2016; Hill & Turiano, 2014; Steptoe & Fancourt, 2019).
There is now a growing body of evidence detailing the role of the arts and culture in promoting health and well-being throughout the life course (All-Party Parliamentary Group on Arts Health and Well-being, 2017; Lomas, 2016; Royal Society for Public Health Working Group, 2013). Despite a lack of clear consensus on conceptualisation and operationalisation of arts engagement for population-based health research, arts engagement usually refers to broadly-defined expressed or experienced human artistic creativity (Davies et al., 2012; All-Party Parliamentary Group on Arts Health and Well-being). Across art forms (e.g. music, literature, the visual arts, and drama), increasing evidence suggests that engagement with arts-based activities can contribute to multiple aspects of experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being, such as enriched experience, enjoyment, meaning, bonding, and aesthetic appreciation (Lomas, 2016). Research in this field further commonly distinguishes between participatory engagement with the arts, which entails active creation of visual arts, drama, music or other art forms, and receptive engagement or participating as a spectator, such as attendance at arts-based events and venues. Both forms of engagement, often difficult to delineate clearly in real life, are believed to provide unique, individual encounters with the arts, which can inspire, aid exploration and expression of one’s identity, emotions and talents, facilitate social interaction, and provide a temporary escape, rest and catharsis (Camic and Chatterjee, 2013; All-Party Parliamentary Group on Arts, Health and Well-being, 2017).

Research on well-being benefits associated with arts engagement in older age, though, is currently dominated by short-term interventional studies involving participatory arts-based activities, and non-random samples of older adults. These studies find short-term well-being benefits for older adults who learn to make music (Perkins and Williamon, 2014), participate in community group singing (Coulton, Clift, Skingley, & Rodriguez, 2015), and museum-based programmes (Thomson & Chatterjee, 2016; Thomson, Lockyer, Camic, & Chatterjee,
Receptive engagement with the arts in adult populations has also been previously positively associated with life satisfaction (Cuypers et al., 2012; Wheatley & Bickerton, 2017), happiness (Wheatley & Bickerton, 2017) and quality of life (Nenonen, Kaikkonen, Murto, & Luoma, 2014) in cross-sectional studies using secondary large-scale data sources. However, a number of key questions remain regarding the longevity and generalisability of the well-being benefits of arts engagement in old age. First, longitudinal explorations of the associations between arts engagement and well-being in representative samples are limited in number and report inconsistent findings (Menec, 2003; Węziak-Białowolska, 2016). Some studies have linked arts engagement with increases in happiness (Menec, 2003) and life satisfaction (Lakey et al., 2017), as well as lower odds of incident depressive symptoms over a decade (Fancourt & Tymoszuk, 2018), yet very few longitudinal studies have examined these associations specifically in older adults (Fancourt & Steptoe, 2018; Menec, 2003). Second, the majority of previous studies have been unable to examine distinct domains of well-being simultaneously (in particular eudaimonic well-being), thus further multidimensional analyses are needed. Third, some evidence suggests cultural stimulation is a ‘perishable commodity’ (Johansson, Konlaan, & Bygren, 2001) in relation to some health outcomes, and can be subject to change similar to other lifestyle behaviours (O’Neill, 2010). Despite this, limited evidence exists on the frequency of arts engagement over time, and its association with long-term well-being.

To address these research gaps, we have used data from a large, nationally representative dataset of people aged over 50 years (the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing, ELSA) and investigated associations between short-term, repeated and sustained engagement with receptive arts engagement (i.e. the frequency of visits to (1) the cinema, (2) art galleries, exhibitions or museums and (3) the theatre, concerts, or the opera) and experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being outcomes over a 10 year period. The number of arts-
based activities included in ELSA is relatively limited (when compared with, for instance, the lists generated for the Taking Part survey, conducted by the Department for Culture, Media and Sport or by Davies et al., 2012). ELSA measures only engagement in receptive and usually ticketed arts activities occurring in state-supported or commercial cultural venues such as art galleries, cinemas, or concert halls, thus likely leading to underestimated and biased representation of arts engagement in the population (Davies et al., 2012; Taylor, 2016; Vanherwegen & Lievens, 2014). Nonetheless, it is the only nationally-representative dataset of older adults in England that permits the examination of at least some aspects of receptive arts engagement at regular intervals over time, and simultaneously contains data on multiple, different aspects of well-being (Vanhoutte, 2014).

Methods

Data and Study Sample

We used data from English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA); a large, longitudinal cohort study representative of the English population of people aged ≥50 years established in 2002 and designed as a stratified random sample of private households drawn from Health Survey for England. We specifically worked with data collected in wave 2 (2004-2005) and biennially for the following decade (up to wave 7; a total of 6 waves of data). Our sample consisted of participants with complete outcome data at wave 7 as well as arts engagement information provided at a minimum of four of the waves between waves 2 and 7 (n=2,767). We used multiple imputation to account for missing data in covariates in wave 2 (baseline) which yielded a total overall sample size of 3,188.

Arts Engagement

Arts engagement was self-reported by the participants at waves 2-7 and consisted of three items asking about the frequency of visits to (1) the cinema, (2) art galleries, exhibitions or
museums, (3) the theatre, concerts, or the opera. Each arts engagement item was assessed on a five-point scale, 0 (“never”), 1 (“less than once a year”), 2 (“once or twice a year”), 3 (“every few months”), 4 (“once a month or more”). Due to a strong positive skew, this five-point scale was subsequently coded as a binary variable of whether or not people engaged “frequently”, which we defined as engaging every few months or more. There was an approximate even split across the responses 0 (“engaging never or at most twice a year”) and 1 (“engaging every few months or more often”). Frequent arts engagement was then summed across six waves to generate the number of occasions at which frequent arts engagement was reported, with scores ranging from 0 to 6. The scores were further coded as 0 for no or infrequent arts engagement at all waves, 1 for short-term engagement (frequent engagement at one wave only), 2 for repeated engagement (frequent engagement at 2-3 waves), and sustained engagement (frequent engagement at 4-6 waves). For distribution of the engagement scores see Table 1.

Well-being Outcomes

Experienced well-being

Positive experienced well-being was measured with the 5-item Pleasure domain of the Control, Autonomy, Self-realisation, Pleasure scale, CASP-19 (Hyde, Wiggins, Higgs, & Blane, 2003). Each item is measured on a four-point Likert scale (often=3, sometimes=2, not often=1, never=0), with higher scores indicating higher positive affective well-being. The scale displayed high internal validity in our sample (Cronbach's α = 0.81). The scores were not normally distributed and were recoded as a binary variable: (1) maximum score of 15 vs. (0) lower score. This yielded an approximately even split across the two responses (for the precise distribution of the scores see Table 1).

Evaluative well-being
Evaluative well-being was measured with the 5-item Diener’s Life Satisfaction scale (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985) which consists of five items measuring overall satisfaction with life. Responses are summed to provide a score ranging from 5 to 35, with higher scores indicating greater life satisfaction. The scale displayed high internal validity in our sample (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$).

Eudaimonic well-being

Eudaimonic well-being was measured with the 5-item Self-Realisation domain of the CASP-19 and the 5-item Control/Autonomy domain of a shortened version of the CASP-19 previously shown to have improved fit when analysing the well-being of older adults (Vanhoutte, 2014). Each item is measured on a four-point Likert scale (often=3, sometimes=2, not often=1, never=0), with higher scores indicating higher Self-Realisation or Control/Autonomy. Both scores ranged from 0 to 15 and displayed high to moderate internal validity in our sample (control/autonomy Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.72$ and self-realisation Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.83$).

Covariates

Sociodemographic, economic, health and social engagement covariates likely to confound the associations between exposure and outcome were measured at baseline (wave 2). Sociodemographic covariates included age, gender, ethnicity (coded as White and non-White as ELSA is predominantly white British >98%) and being in a coupled relationship (in a couple vs. without a partner). Socioeconomic position was assessed with: i) the highest educational attainment (categorised as: University degree or equivalent, including NVQ4-NVQ5; A level/higher education or equivalent including NVQ3; GCE/O level qualification or equivalent including NVQ2; other or no educational qualification), ii) employment status (full-time; part-time; not in employment) and iii) with net non-pension wealth quintiles. Net
non-pension wealth measures accumulation of assets over the lifespan and is widely used as the most salient socioeconomic position indicator in the ELSA cohort. Participants’ health was measured with longstanding illness status (no longstanding illness, longstanding and non-limiting illness, longstanding and limiting illness). Additionally, self-reported eyesight and hearing problems (coded as having problems if fair or poor eyesight or hearing reported) and experiences of moderate or severe pain, which could hinder one’s overall and arts engagement, were adjusted for. Participants registered as blind (n=33) were dropped from the analyses due to possible different profiles of engagement in arts activities. Social engagement was measured with a composite score of frequency of contact (over the phone, email and face to face) with friends, children and wider relatives (coded as +1 for each mode of contact and social tie, if contact occurred on a monthly basis or more frequently, with the score ranging 0-9), and a binary variable specifying engagement in any civic activities (including being a member of a political party or environmental group, a tenants or neighbourhood watch group, a church or religious association, a charitable association, an education, arts or music class, a social club, a sports, gym or exercise class, or any other society).

Statistical Analysis

We used logistic and linear regression models to assess the associations between different types of arts engagement measured over 10 years from wave 2 to wave 7 and well-being outcomes were measured in wave 7. Model 1 adjusted for baseline (wave 2) well-being, while Model 2 additionally adjusted for sociodemographic and socioeconomic covariates (gender, age, ethnicity, coupled relationship status, highest educational attainment, employment status, and net non-pension wealth), and Model 3 additionally adjusted for health variables (eyesight and hearing problems, longstanding illness status, and pain) and social engagement (social isolation index and civic activities). All well-being outcomes were examined in separate models. To correct for multiple comparisons and protect the results
from Type I Error, we applied Bonferroni correction (0.05/12=0.004) and considered statistical significance to be most robust at the alpha-value of <0.004.

We derived our analytical sample by first, restricting the data to participants with complete outcome data at wave 7 (n=3,695), and then to those with arts engagement information provided at a minimum of four of the waves between waves 2 and 7 (n=2,767). For those missing data in covariates at wave 2 (baseline), we imputed the data using multiple imputation by chained equations (White, Royston, & Wood, 2011), with logistic, multinomial, ordinal and linear regression according to variable type, generating 50 imputed datasets. The imputation model included all variables used in the analyses including the exposure, covariate, outcome variables, auxiliary variables assessing depressive symptoms and mobility problems, and the baseline survey weights. This yielded a total overall sample size of 3,188. The results of analyses did not vary between complete cases and imputed datasets. The findings presented here are based on the imputed dataset. All analyses were weighted to account for the stratified sampling design and to account of differential non-response.

We ran two sensitivity analyses. We excluded participants with: i) symptoms of depression at baseline (≥4 depressive symptoms scored on CES-D scale, n=353) and ii) severe mobility issues at baseline (n=465) as it was recognised that they might have different profiles of engagement. We found that the results did not vary substantially. These results can be found in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3. Characteristics of arts engagement profiles by sociodemographic, socioeconomic, health and social engagement covariates are included in Supplementary Tables S4, S5, and S6.

**Results**

The sample characteristics are described in Table 1. Briefly, mean age at baseline was 62.3 years, 54.0% were women (Table 1). We found that 11.4%, 11.5% and 14.0% reported short-
term engagement with the cinema, galleries/exhibitions/museums and the theatre/concerts/opera respectively. 13.4%, 11.8% and 13.1% reported repeated engagement with the cinema, galleries/exhibitions/museums and the theatre/concerts/opera. And 18.4%, 15.5%, and 22.0% of the participants reported sustained engagement with the cinema, galleries/exhibitions/museums, and theatre/concerts/opera over 10 years of follow-up. We found demographic, socioeconomic, health and social engagement differences in arts engagement profiles, with sustained engagement across all arts activities associated with younger age, higher educational attainment, full-time employment, being in the top wealth quintile, fewer health problems (eye or hearing problems, pain, long-standing illness) and higher social and civic engagement (Supplementary Table S4, S5, S6).

The results from logistic and linear regression models presented in the main text (Table 2) include the full-adjusted models (Models 3). For other models (Models 1, 2, 3) see the Table S1 in the Supplementary Material.

**Short-Term Engagement (Frequent Engagement at One Wave)**

Experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being

In the fully-adjusted models, there was no difference in experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being outcomes between participants who reported short-term engagement in any of the arts activities and those who reported no or infrequent arts engagement at all waves (Table 2).

**Repeated Engagement (Frequent Engagement at 2-3 Waves)**

Experienced and evaluative well-being
In the fully-adjusted models, there was no difference in experienced and evaluative well-being outcomes between participants who reported repeated engagement in any of the arts activities and those who reported no or infrequent arts engagement at all waves (Table 2).

**Eudaimonic well-being**

In the fully-adjusted models, repeated engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums compared with no or infrequent engagement at all waves was associated with higher control/autonomy (B=0.28, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.54, p=0.036) and self-realisation (B=0.31, 95% CI: 0.04, 0.58, p=0.023). Repeated engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera compared with no or infrequent engagement at all waves was associated with higher control/autonomy (B=0.33, 95% CI: 0.08, 0.58, p=0.011).

**Sustained Engagement (Frequent Engagement at 4-6 Waves)**

**Experienced well-being**

In the fully-adjusted model, sustained engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera compared with no or infrequent engagement at all waves was associated with higher odds of maximum score of positive affect (OR=1.42, 95% CI: 1.14, 1.77, p=0.002).

**Evaluative well-being**

In the fully-adjusted models, sustained engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums compared with no or infrequent engagement at all waves was associated with higher life satisfaction (B=0.76, 95% CI: 0.28, 1.25, p=0.002).

**Eudaimonic well-being**

In the fully-adjusted models, sustained engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums compared with no or infrequent engagement at all waves was associated with higher self-realisation (B=0.51, 95% CI: 0.27, 0.76, p<0.001) in the fully-adjusted model. *Sustained*
engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera compared with no or infrequent engagement at all waves was associated with higher control/autonomy (B=0.28, 95% CI: 0.05, 0.51, p=0.018) and self-realisation (B=0.30, 95% CI: 0.08, 0.53, p=0.008).

**Sensitivity Analyses**

Excluding participants with mobility issues (Supplementary Table S2) and depression at baseline (Supplementary Table S3) due to likely differences in engagement profiles in these groups did not materially change the results. The effect sizes for the associations between repeated and sustained engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums seemed consistently larger in both sensitivity analyses. No consistent changes in effect sizes were found for repeated and sustained engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera.

**Discussion**

This study extends previously reported positive associations between arts engagement and subjective well-being for a population of older adults by examining short-term, repeated and sustained arts engagement profiles over a 10-year timespan and their longitudinal associations with distinct domains of experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being. The findings demonstrate that sustained engagement, taking place every few months or more over the majority of the 10-year follow-up period, is associated with long-term well-being benefits for older adults. Further, repeated engagement, taking place every few months or more across approximately four to six out of 10 years appears to be associated with eudaimonic well-being, although the magnitude of the association is weaker. We observe these associations only for engagement with certain arts activities: namely visits to galleries, exhibitions, museums, the theatre, concerts and the opera, but not the cinema. The findings, therefore, suggest that while receptive arts engagement is associated with well-being in older
adults, the evidence for the association over time across the activities is the most robust when engagement is sustained.

This finding is in line with a previous study that linked both sustained cultural inactivity and decreasing cultural engagement to the same level of risk of impaired self-reported health (Johansson et al., 2001) and suggested that continued frequent engagement may be necessary for lasting health promotion. Based on these findings, others have proposed that arts engagement can be compared to adherence to lifestyle regimes such as physical activity habits that yield well-being benefits when sustained long-term but also when taken up after a period of inactivity (O’Neill, 2010). Although the importance of continued engagement with social and productive activities is recognised as being linked with better health (Johansson et al., 2001) and longevity (Thomas, 2012), only a few studies have investigated patterns of long-term leisure including arts activities engagement in ageing populations (Agahi, Ahacic, & Parker, 2006; Strain, Grabusic, Searle, & Dunn, 2002) and none have linked them with subjective well-being. Nonetheless, evidence from previous small-scale intervention studies suggests that older adults consider regular participation in creative activities a good means of staying cognitively stimulated as well as seeing them as a “purposeful occupation”, and enriching daily routines (Swindells et al., 2013). Here, we further demonstrate that sustained engagement with receptive arts activities is particularly associated with positive experienced, evaluative and eudaimonic well-being over time.

The focus on the multidimensional aspects of well-being was a particular feature of our research. In relation to experienced well-being, we found an association with sustained engagement with theatre/concerts/opera. This is consistent with a previous prospective study linking baseline levels of engagement with music, art and theatre to enhanced happiness levels 6 years later (Menec, 2003) and in line with intervention studies reporting increases in older adults’ subjective experiences of pleasure, enjoyment and other positive emotions as a

We further found that *sustained* engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums was associated with greater evaluative well-being. This was in agreement with prospective (Lakey et al., 2017) and cross-sectional (Wheatley & Bickerton, 2017) analyses of UK-representative datasets. Our findings are further in line with a recent longitudinal study of the ELSA dataset which found higher life satisfaction for participants who engaged with arts-based and education classes (Fancourt & Steptoe, 2018). However, they contrast those of longitudinal studies of Canadian and Swiss samples which reported null associations (Menec, 2003; Węziak-Białowolska, 2016). Direct comparisons with these studies are challenging due to differences in investigated populations and the life satisfaction measures used – the General life satisfaction single item measure (Węziak-Białowolska, 2016) and 20-item Life Satisfaction Index (Menec, 2003).

In relation to eudaimonic well-being, we found *sustained* and *repeated* arts engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums and theatre/concerts/opera was associated with increased control/autonomy and self-realisation. These findings are in agreement with intervention studies that suggest that participating in creative and musical activities can foster feelings of control and autonomy as well as providing an opportunity for personal growth and engaging in challenging, simulating activities (Creech et al., 2013; Swindells et al., 2013). For instance, participatory musical activities have been previously described to help increase and maintain social, cognitive and physical independence in older age (Hays & Minichiello, 2005), through, among other factors, the physical activity involved in participating in them (Creech et al., 2013). Furthermore, art and culture provide opportunities for self-directed engagement with activities one finds meaningful and absorbing, as well as sometimes cognitively and
creatively challenging and, thus, can promote feelings of self-esteem, self-determination, competence and accomplishment (Creech et al., 2013; Hays & Minichiello, 2005; Swindells et al., 2013). It is conceivable that attendance at arts events may contribute to eudaimonic well-being of older adults in a similar fashion.

While our study clarifies specific associations between frequency and types of arts engagement and subjective well-being for a population of older adults, there are four areas in which further exploration is still warranted. First, this study focussed on receptive arts engagement. Some evidence suggests potential differences in well-being and health outcomes between receptive and participatory arts engagement (Cuypers et al., 2012; Fancourt & Steptoe, 2018). However, these studies examined different aspects of engagement, including civic, religious or community engagement, rather than strictly arts-based activity. Furthermore, some cross-sectional (Renton et al., 2012) and longitudinal (Węziak-Białowolska, 2016) studies examining both participatory and receptive arts-based engagement in relation to well-being and mental health outcomes in adult populations found no consistent differences in the associations. Further research is therefore needed to clarify how different participatory and receptive arts activities link to well-being outcomes in older adults. More generally, future research is needed to investigate mental health and health behaviour profiles of older adults engaging with different arts pursuits, including those which are not captured in ELSA and which may be unticketed, informal and representing a wider and more inclusive definition of arts engagement.

Second, in this study we found no associations with well-being outcomes for frequent engagement with cinema in the fully-adjusted models. Engaging with cinema as an art form may have positive impact on subjective well-being and some evidence links cinema attendance with lower mortality rate (Konlaan, Bygren, & Johansson, 2000). However, previous studies also link screen time, such as watching television, with increased depressive
symptoms, sedentary behaviour and other unfavourable health behaviours in older adults (Hamer, Poole, & Messerli-Bürgy, 2013). Investigation of different kinds engagement with the arts through screens is necessary to disentangle the possible effects of related but perhaps experientially different pursuits.

Third, although our study found that long-term frequent engagement with certain arts activities is associated with higher levels of well-being, the majority of older adults in the ELSA sample were reporting no arts engagement. Additionally, we identified demographic, socioeconomic, health and social engagement differences in arts engagement profiles. Therefore, further research is needed to scrutinise non-participation, including investigation of structural and individual barriers. Linked with the previous points, such studies should also attempt to include a wider range of arts activities. Finally, with some preliminary evidence suggesting that arts-based activities and cultural spaces such as galleries and museums may help older adults to decrease feelings of loneliness, and to facilitate positive social interactions (Cohen et al., 2007; Creech et al., 2013; Hays & Minichiello, 2005; Todd, Camic, Lockyer, Thomson, & Chatterjee, 2017), future studies should examine these associations further, with a particular focus on loneliness and social isolation which are known risk factors for low well-being in older adults (Shankar, Rafnsson, & Steptoe, 2015).

Strengths and limitations

This study answered calls for more prospective, population-based studies examining the associations between arts engagement and well-being. We used a sample from a large, nationally representative dataset of older adults in England with arts engagement measured six times over 10 years, which enabled us to investigate short-term, repeated and sustained arts engagement profiles of older adults. Despite the prospective design of this study, the causal direction of the associations cannot be inferred from our findings. As with any
observational cohort study, biases stemming from non-random attrition and survival effects, as well as residual confounding could explain observed estimates. Although we address some of these issues by adjustment for multiple covariates and potential differences in arts engagement profiles in sensitivity analyses, we found that participants who reported poorer health, lower well-being and no arts engagement at baseline were more likely to drop out from wave 7, and therefore do not appear in the analysis.

Our findings are particularly prone to residual confounding by socioeconomic differences in access and engagement with arts activities, which is further reinforced by the method of measurement of arts engagement in ELSA. Arts engagement questions in ELSA are skewed towards ticketed, and likely paid activities occurring in state-supported or commercial cultural venues, and fail to capture engagement with different art forms and in more informal settings – e.g. at one’s at home, at community/cultural festivals or digitally (Davies et al., 2012). This may have contributed to high rates (50%-60%) of reported no or infrequent engagement across the three types of receptive arts activities included in this study. Indeed, a previous study of the Taking Part dataset confirms that over a half of the English population reports low levels of cultural participation, when it is measured through state-supported forms of culture (Taylor, 2016). The conceptualisation of arts engagement in ELSA is not, however, atypical in its bias towards “highbrow interpretation” of what constitutes arts engagement (Lareau & Weininger, 2003; Vanherwegen & Lievens, 2014), its focus on receptive rather than participatory arts engagement (Vanherwegen & Lievens, 2014), or the omission of more popular forms of cultural participation (Taylor, 2016).

It is further important to acknowledge that habits of arts engagement in later life are likely to reflect one’s cultural capital ‘accrual’ across the life course, starting in early life, and marked by intergenerational transmission and educational opportunities (Dimaggio & Mukhtar, 2004; Vanherwegen & Lievens, 2014). Sustained arts and social engagement in older age might
further reflect cumulative health or socioeconomic advantage as well as other not easily modifiable antecedents (e.g. environmental) of greater access to arts activities. Indeed, we found that *sustained* (long-term, frequent) engagement across all arts activities was associated with higher educational attainment, better socioeconomic position and fewer health problems. Nonetheless, engagement with the arts and culture can be a modifiable behaviour as people’s practices, preferences and proficiencies can change (Lareau & Weininger, 2003). There is increasing evidence to suggest that arts engagement can be a cost-effective, sustainable and multimodal tool for health promotion when supported by adequate policies tackling access inequalities, and supporting older adults’ continued engagement (Camic & Chatterjee, 2013; Coulton et al., 2015).

Lastly, the measure of well-being used in our study has limitations. CASP-19 was originally validated to measure quality of life in old age (Hyde et al., 2003), and captures only two elements (control and self-realisation) relevant to eudaimonic well-being. Therefore, we were not able to investigate, among others, social elements of well-being despite evidence from previous studies suggesting salient interrelations between arts engagement, social connectedness and well-being in older adults (Creech et al., 2013; Hays & Minichiello, 2005; Perkins & Williamson, 2014) or purpose in life despite well-established evidence on health and survival benefits associated with perceptions of purposeful life in older age (Hill & Turiano, 2014; Steptoe & Fancourt, 2019).

**Conclusion**

Frequent visits to galleries, exhibitions, museums, the theatre, concerts or the opera sustained over the majority of a 10-year timespan are associated with increases in experienced, evaluative, and eudaimonic well-being, specifically positive affect, life satisfaction, perceptions of control/autonomy over one’s life and self-realisation. These findings, taken
together with previous evidence of the importance of arts engagement for well-being in older adulthood, suggest that policies which facilitate access to certain arts venues and support older adult’s engagement with them, for example through free admission schemes and investments in local cultural initiatives, may help to promote happy, fulfilling lives of an increasing segment of the population.
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Table 1 Descriptive table of participants included in the complete cases analysis, n=2,767.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong>, mean (SD)</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong>: Non-white</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In coupled relationship</strong>, n(%)</td>
<td>2,122</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong>, n(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A level/higher education</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCE and O level</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No qualification</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong>, n(%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in employment</td>
<td>1,474</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time ≥35 hours/week</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part time</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eyesight problems</strong>: Yes, n(%)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hearing problems</strong>: Yes, n(%)</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain</strong>: Yes, n(%)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-standing illness</strong>, n(%)</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long-standing illness</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long standing, not limiting illness</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long standing and limiting illness</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social isolation score (0-9)</strong>, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of arts engagement across the 10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement with the cinema, n(%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No or infrequent engagement at all waves</td>
<td>1,572 (56.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term (frequent engagement at one wave)</td>
<td>316 (11.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated (frequent engagement at 2-3 waves)</td>
<td>371 (13.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained (frequent engagement at 4-6 waves)</td>
<td>508 (18.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums, n(%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No or infrequent engagement at all waves</td>
<td>1,695 (61.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term (frequent engagement at one waves)</td>
<td>317 (11.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated (frequent engagement at 2-3 waves)</td>
<td>327 (11.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained (frequent engagement at 4-6 waves)</td>
<td>428 (15.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera, n(%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No or infrequent engagement at all waves</td>
<td>1,409 (50.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term (frequent engagement at one wave)</td>
<td>486 (14.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated (frequent engagement at 2-3 waves)</td>
<td>364 (13.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained (frequent engagement at 4-6 waves)</td>
<td>608 (22.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experienced well-being at wave 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive affect: maximum vs. lower score, n(%)</td>
<td>1,340 (48.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluative well-being at wave 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life satisfaction, mean (SD)</td>
<td>26.6 (5.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eudaimonic well-being at wave 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/autonomy, mean (SD)</td>
<td>11.8 (2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-realisation, mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.8 (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Results from logistic regression analyses examining the association between arts engagement activities and odds of maximum experienced well-being score and linear regression models examining the remaining well-being outcomes using the dataset with imputed missing covariates, n=3,188. All outcomes were entered and analysed in individual models. Arts engagement was measured across ELSA waves 2 to 7 (2004/2005 – 2014/2015), covariate data outcome data were measured at waves 2 and 7 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experienced well-being</th>
<th></th>
<th>Evaluative well-being</th>
<th></th>
<th>Eudaimonic well-being</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive affect</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Life satisfaction</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement with the cinema</strong></td>
<td>(reference: no or infrequent engagement at all waves)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.61, 1.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.56, 0.56</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78, 1.26</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.56, 0.54</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.91, 1.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.74, 0.25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement with galleries/exhibitions/museums</strong> (reference: no or infrequent engagement at all waves)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.76, 1.28</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.25, 0.90</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.82, 1.35</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.08, 1.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.98, 1.61</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td><strong>0.76</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.28, 1.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.002</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement with the theatre/concerts/opera (reference: no or infrequent engagement at all waves)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short-term</strong></td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.91, 1.51</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.45, 0.66</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repeated</strong></td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.86, 1.41</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.42, 0.67</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustained</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.42</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.14, 1.77</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.002</strong></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.02, 0.89</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reference:** Participants who reported no arts engagement or engaging at most twice a year at all study waves; **short-term** (frequent engagement at one wave); **repeated** (frequent engagement at 2-3 waves); **sustained** (frequent engagement at 4-6 waves)

All models are adjusted for wave 2 well-being score, gender, age, ethnicity, coupled relationship status, highest educational attainment, employment status, and net non-pension wealth, eyesight and hearing problems, experiences of pain and chronic illness status, social isolation index and civic activities.